

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,639	08/07/2001	Praveen K. Parvathala	219.40391X00	9273
7590 01/14/2005 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			DAS, CHAMELI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,			2122	<u> </u>
		DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N	Applicant(s)				
	09/922,639	PARVATHALA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	CHAMELI C DAS	2122				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunicati n appears on the c ver sh et with the corresp ndenc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 September 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	↑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)  Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 2-12,15,16,18-26 and 28-36 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1,13,14,17 and 27 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 September 2001 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Application In the price of the pri	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/922,639 Page 2

**Art Unit: 2122** 

1. This action is in response to the amendment and remarks filed on 9/30/04.

- 2. Claims 2-3,11,15, 17-18, 24-25, 28-29, and 33 have been amended.
- 3. Claims 1, 13-14, 17, and 27 have been rejected.
- 4. Claims 2-12, 15-16, 18-26, 28-36 have been allowed.

## Response to the argument

- 5. The applicant's argument filed on 9/30/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In the Remarks, the applicant has argued in substance:
- (1) Neither Halviatti et al (5,475,843) nor Kossatchev et al (6,698,012) disclose "for subsequent storage on-board of a complex device under test.

  Response:
- (1) Halviatti discloses the system maintains an in-memory Testing model of a particular application under test (col 3, lines 14-16, "During operation, the system maintains an in-memory Testing Model of a particular application under test. Overall operation of the system is directed by one or more test scripts"), where "in-memory Testing Model" is the "storage on-board" testing model, and the "particular application under test" is the complex device under test. Halviatti discloses the application under test is the complex device under test is shown in (col 20, lines 61-67, "Consider, for example, the task of testing a software compiler having both command-line and GUI components (such as found in commercially-available compilers from Borland and Microsoft). Conceptually, testing the GUI portion of the compiler should be

Application/Control Number: 09/922,639 Page 3

Art Unit: 2122

easier than testing the command-line portion, owing to the latter's *complex internal* operations").

(2) The applicant has argued that in Fig 6 of Halviatti et al, the "testing model" is a separate component form "application under test".

# Response:

- (2) Similarly, in the applicant's specification, Fig 1. shows that "Test Program" (120) and "Device under Test (DUT)" (130) are separate components.
- (3) The applicant has attempted to challenge the Examiner's taking of Official Notice regarding "Device under test (DUT) includes a microprocessor".

  Response:

The official notice, presented in the last office action, concerning "Device under test (DUT) includes a microprocessor" is maintained. Pri-Tal (US 4,622,669) is cited herein as evidence to support examiner's taking of official notice (Pri-Tal, col 1, lines 40-41, "by executing test routines that reside on the device under test, which include a microprocessor"), clearly teaches the "device under test" include a microprocessor, and (column 2 line 44-66) sets forth the motivation to include microprocessor on the device under test.

(4) The applicant has attempted to challenge the Examiner's taking of Official Notice regarding "on-board memory".

### Response:

The official notice, presented in the last office action, concerning "on-board memory" is maintained. Broseghini et al (US, 5,761,489) is cited herein as evidence to

support examiner's taking of official notice (Broseghini, col 1, lines 26-30, "bootstrap mode uses self-test software which is loaded into the *on-board random access memory* ("RAM") by means of the serial communications interface. The 80486 microprocessor (available from Intel Corp. of Santa Clara, Calif.) has also built-in, self-test ("BIST") capability. The modification would be obvious because one of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to store the testing software into a permanent storage.

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chameli Das whose telephone number is 571-272-3696

Application/Control Number: 09/922,639

Art Unit: 2122

Page 5

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 A.M. to 3:30

P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor Tuan Dam can be reached at 571-272-3695. The fax number for this

group is (703) 872-9306.

An inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is

703-305-9600.

Chamble C. DAS

CHAMELI C. DAS

CHAMELI C. DAS

1/8/05